



# **OCTOBER 2010 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD (FINAL)** - CONTRACTED CHILD PLACEMENT AGENCIES -

### **Table of Contents**

	Contracted Foster Home Capacities of Child Placement Agencies (FY2009)	. 2
	Reconciled Measures	
1.	Family Case Plans	. 5
2.	Child Case Plans	. 6
3.	Visitation – In Home	.7
4.	Visitation – Foster Care	. 8
	Visitation – Siblings	
6.	Visitation – Parent-Child for Reunification	10
7.	Visitation – First Four Weeks	11
8.	Licensed Foster Homes	12
	Scorecard Outcomes and Benchmarks in the Amended Implementation Plan	13

#### <u>Notes</u>

- 1) In February 2007, US District Judge Thomas F. Hogan approved an Amended Implementation Plan (AIP), which replaces the LaShawn Implementation Plan established by the Federal Court Order in 2003. Expected outcomes and benchmarks in the scorecard were initially derived from the original LaShawn Implementation Plan. CFSA is in the process of refining measures for the scorecard to reflect modified AIP requirements, which are specified on the last two pages of this scorecard. The original Implementation Plan and the entire AIP are available on the CFSA web site.
- 2) Data presented in this scorecard was extracted from reports in FACES, CFSA's client information management system. FACES produces monthly reports to monitor performance data on the 15th of the month following the month of the report. The FACES report number for each outcome is indicated in that respective outcome chart.
- 3) For additional information, please contact Bev-Freda Jackson, Supervisory Data Analyst at (202) 724-7320 or bev-freda.jackson@dc.gov.

## Contracted Foster Home Capacities of Child Placement Agencies (FY2010)

Child Placement Agency <sup>1)</sup>		Contracted Placement Capacity (Number of Contracted Slots)			
	Traditional	Specialized	Total		
Board of Child Care	55		55		
Boys Town Washington Inc	8	25	33		
Catholic Charities	50		50		
Children's Choice	20	35	55		
Family and Child Services	90	20	110		
Foundation for Home & Community	85	218	303		
Helping Children Grow <sup>2)</sup>		32	32		
KidsPeace	9	24	33		
Latin American Youth Center	20		20		
Lutheran Social Services <sup>3)</sup>	113		113		
Martin Pollak Project		63	63		
National Center for Children & Families	43	60	103		
Pressley Ridge		35	35		
Progressive Life Center		65	65		
PSI Family Services	45	110	155		
Seraaj Family Homes		36	36		

#### <u>Notes</u>


- This table does not include placement agencies that do not have case management (CM) responsibility.
- 2) Thirty-two (32) placement slots of the 'Helping Children Grow' include 14 slots under the 'medically fragile/complex' placement contract in addition to 18 slots under the 'specialized' placement contract.
- Of the 113 placement slots of the 'Lutheran Social Service', only 68 slots are contracted capacities and the remaining 45 slots are for those youth who are referred by the District of Columbia Refugee Unaccompanied Minors Program (RUMP).


## Reconciled Measures


Data presented in the scorecard is extracted from FACES reports generated on the 15th of the month following the month of the report. In some cases, a technical glitch or complication in FACES may have hindered workers from updating information timely and/or accurately, affecting performance data reported in FACES. The table below presents adjusted performance data in those areas for the current month


Agonov	Performance Measure	FACES Report	Adjusted Score		
Agency			Total Applicable	Cases in Compliance	Percent
Board of Child Care	Child Case Plan	CMT163	46	45	97.8%
Boys Town	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	14	11	78.6%
Children's Choice					
Family Matters	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	10	10	100.0%
	Family Case Plan	CMT164	56	52	92.9%
	Child Case Plan	CMT163	306	294	96.1%
Foundation	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	37	26	70.3%
	Foster Care Visitation	CMT165	286	275	96.2%
	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	91	54	59.3%
Holping Children Grow	Family Case Plan	CMT164	4	4	100.0%
Helping Children Grow	Child Case Plan	CMT163	29	27	93.1%
KIDS PEACE					
LAYC					
Luthoron	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	23	19	82.6%
Lutheran	Parent-Child Visitation	CMT012	19	17	89.5%


Martin Pollak					
	Child Case Plan	CMT163	150	145	96.7%
NOOF	Foster Care Visitation	CMT165	141	138	97.9%
NCCF	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	31	25	80.6%
	First 4 Weeks Visitation	CMT014	12	10	83.3%
Pressley Ridge					
Progressive					
PSI					
Seraaj					




















C	Scorecard Outcomes and Benchmarks in the Amended Implementation Plan						
No	Scorecard Measure	Outcomes and Benchmark in the Amended Implementation Plan					
1	Case Plans – In-Home	Section I– 17. Case Planning Process Case plans shall be developed within 30 days of the child entering care and shall be reviewed and modified as					
2	Case Plans – Foster Care	necessary at least every six months thereafter, and shall show evidence of appropriate supervisory review of case plan progress.					
3	Visitation – In-Home	Section I– 4. Visitation to Families with In-Home Services A CFSA worker or a qualified worker from a service provider authorized by CFSA shall make twice-monthly visits to families in which there has been substantiated abuse or neglect, with a determination that each child can be maintained safely in the home with services. At least one visit per month shall be in the home, but the second can be at the child's school, day care or elsewhere. Workers are responsible for assessing the safety of each child at every visit and each child must be separately interviewed at least monthly outside of the presence of the caretaker.					
4	Visitation – Foster Care	Section I– 5. Visitation to Children in Out-of-Home Care CFSA or contract social workers with case management responsibility shall make twice-monthly visits to each child in out-of-home care (foster family homes, group homes, congregate care, independent living programs, etc.). At least one visit per month shall be in the home, but the second can be at the child's school, day care or elsewhere. Workers are responsible for assessing the safety of each child at every visit and each child must be separately interviewed at least monthly outside of the presence of the caretaker.					
5	Visitation – Siblings	Section I– 20. Sibling Placement and Visits Children in out-of-home placement should be placed with some or all of their siblings. Children placed apart from their siblings should have at least twice monthly visitation with some or all of their siblings.					
6	Visitation – Parent-Child for Reunification	Section I– 11. Visits Between Parents and Children There shall be weekly visits between parents and children with a goal of reunification unless clinically inappropriate and approved by the Family Court. In cases in which visitation does not occur, the Agency shall demonstrate and there shall be documentation in the case record that visitation was not in the child's best interest, is clinically inappropriate or did not occur despite efforts by the Agency to facilitate it.					

7	Visitation – First Four Weeks of Placement	Section I–6. Visitation for Children Experiencing a New Placement or a Placement Change CFSA or contract agency social workers with case responsibility shall make weekly visits during the first four weeks of placement and twice monthly visits thereafter to each child newly placed in out-of-home care (foster family homes, group homes, congregate care, independent living programs, etc.) or moved to a new placement. Workers are responsible for assessing the safety of each child at every visit and each child must be separately interviewed at least monthly outside of the presence of the caretaker.
8	Licensed Foster Homes	Section I–18. Placement Licensing Children shall be placed in foster homes and other placements that meet licensing and other MFO placement standards and have a current and valid license.