

Government of the District of Columbia Child and Family Services Agency



APRIL 2009 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD (FINAL) - CONTRACTED CHILD PLACEMENT AGENCIES -

Table of Contents

	Contracted Foster Home Capacities of Child Placement Agencies (FY2009)	. 2
	Reconciled Measures	
.1.	Family Case Plans	. 5
2.	Child Case Plans	. 6
3.	Visitation – In Home	.7
.4.	Visitation – Foster Care	. 8
	Visitation – Siblings	
-	Visitation – Parent-Child for Reunification	-
.7.	Visitation – First Four Weeks	11
8.	Licensed Foster Homes	12
.0	Scorecard Outcomes and Benchmarks in the Amended Implementation Plan	13

Notes

- 1) In February 2007, US District Judge Thomas F. Hogan approved an Amended Implementation Plan (AIP), which replaces the LaShawn Implementation Plan established by the Federal Court Order in 2003. Expected outcomes and benchmarks in the scorecard were initially derived from the original LaShawn Implementation Plan. CFSA is in the process of refining measures for the scorecard to reflect modified AIP requirements, which are specified on the last two pages of this scorecard. The original Implementation Plan and the entire AIP are available on the CFSA web site.
- 2) Data presented in this scorecard was extracted from reports in FACES, CFSA's client information management system. FACES produces monthly reports to monitor performance data on the 15th of the month following the month of the report. The FACES report number for each outcome is indicated in that respective outcome chart.
- 3) For additional information, please contact Bev-Freda Jackson, Supervisory Data Advisor at (202) 724-7320 or bev-freda.jackson@dc.gov.

Contracted Foster Home Capacities of Child Placement Agencies (FY2009)

Child Placement Agency ¹⁾		Contracted Placement Capacity (Number of Contracted Slots)			
	Traditional	Specialized	Total		
Board of Child Care	60		60		
Boys Town Washington Inc	8	25	33		
Catholic Charities	50		50		
Children's Choice	20	35	55		
Family and Child Services	90	20	110		
Foundation for Home & Community	85	218	303		
Helping Children Grow ²⁾		32	32		
KidsPeace	9	24	33		
Latin American Youth Center	20		20		
Lutheran Social Services ³⁾	113		113		
Martin Pollak Project		63	63		
National Center for Children & Families	43	60	103		
Pressley Ridge		35	35		
Progressive Life Center		65	65		
PSI Family Services	45	110	155		
Seraaj Family Homes		36	36		

Notes

- This table does not include placement agencies that do not have case management (CM) responsibility.
- 2) Thirty-two (32) placement slots of the 'Helping Children Grow' include 14 slots under the 'medically fragile/complex' placement contract in addition to 18 slots under the 'specialized' placement contract.
- Of the 113 placement slots of the 'Lutheran Social Service', only 68 slots are contracted capacities and the remaining 45 slots are for those youth who are referred by the District of Columbia Refugee Unaccompanied Minors Program (RUMP).

Reconciled Measures

Data presented in the scorecard is extracted from FACES reports generated on the 15th of the month following the month of the report. In some cases, a technical glitch or complication in FACES may have hindered workers from updating information timely and/or accurately, affecting performance data reported in FACES. The table below presents adjusted performance data in those areas for the current month

Anonov	Performance Measure	FACES Report	Adjusted Score		
Agency			Total Applicable	Cases in Compliance	Percent
Board of Child Care	Child Case Plans	CMT163	48	48	100.0%
Board of Child Care	Parent-Child Visitation	CMT012	15	14	93.3%
Boys Town	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	4	4	100.0%
Catholic Charities					
Children's Choice	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	8	6	75.0%
Children's Choice	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	8	2	25.0%
Family and Child					
	Family Case Plans	CMT164	54	50	92.6%
Foundation	Child Case Plans	CMT163	314	305	97.1%
Foundation	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	31	19	61.3%
	Foster Care Visitation	CMT165	284	249	87.7%
Helping Children Grow					
	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	8	8	100.0%
KIDS Peace	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	13	12	92.3%
	Parent-Child Visitation	CMT012	6	2	33.3%
LAYC					
Lutheran	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	11	10	90.9%
Lumeran	Parent-Child Visitation	CMT012	17	14	82.4%
Martin Pollak	Foster Care Visitation	CMT165	65	58	89.2%


	Family Case Plans	CMT164	24	23	95.8%
	Child Case Plans	CMT163	95	93	97.9%
NCCF	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	27	18	66.7%
NCCF	Foster Care Visitation	CMT165	87	79	90.8%
	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	15	15	100.0%
	First 4 Weeks	CMT014	6	5	83.3%
Pressley Ridge	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	13	6	46.2%
	Child Case Plans	CMT163	65	65	100.0%
Progressive Life	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	16	15	93.8%
	Parent-Child Visitation	CMT012	11	8	72.7%
	Family Case Plans	CMT164	27	22	81.5%
	In-Home Visitation	CMT166	26	16	61.5%
PSI	Foster Care Visitation	CMT165	129	124	96.1%
	Siblings Visitation	CMT219	26	19	73.1%
	Parent-Child Visitation	CMT012	21	16	76.2%
Seraaj					


D.C. Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA)

April 2009 Performance Scorecard – Contracted Placement Agencies

1. Family Case Plans


Percentage of Families with Current Family Case Plans (FACES CMT164)






3. Visitation – In Home

Percentage of Children Remaining at Home who had at least 2 Monthly Visits by Social Worker (FACES CMT166)




4. Visitation – Foster Care

Percentage of Children in Foster Care who had at least 2 Visits by Social Worker (FACES CMT165)




5. Visitation – Siblings


Percentage of Children who had at least Two Visits with Some or All of Their Siblings (FACES CMT219)



6. Visitation – Parent-Child for Reunification


Percentage of Children with Goal of Reunification who had Weekly Visit with their Parents (FACES CMT012)





D.C. Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA)

April 2009 Performance Scorecard – Contracted Placement Agencies



	Scorecard Outcomes and Benchmarks in the Amended Implementation Plan					
No	Scorecard Measure	Outcomes and Benchmark in the Amended Implementation Plan				
1	Case Plans – In-Home	Section I– 17. Case Planning Process Case plans shall be developed within 30 days of the child entering care and shall be reviewed and modified as				
2	Case Plans – Foster Care	necessary at least every six months thereafter, and shall show evidence of appropriate supervisory review of case plan progress.				
3	Visitation – In-Home	Section I– 4. Visitation to Families with In-Home Services A CFSA worker or a qualified worker from a service provider authorized by CFSA shall make twice-monthly visits to families in which there has been substantiated abuse or neglect, with a determination that each child can be maintained safely in the home with services. At least one visit per month shall be in the home, but the second can be at the child's school, day care or elsewhere. Workers are responsible for assessing the safety of each child at every visit and each child must be separately interviewed at least monthly outside of the presence of the caretaker.				
4	Visitation – Foster Care	Section I– 5. Visitation to Children in Out-of-Home Care CFSA or contract social workers with case management responsibility shall make twice-monthly visits to each child in out-of-home care (foster family homes, group homes, congregate care, independent living programs, etc.). At least one visit per month shall be in the home, but the second can be at the child's school, day care or elsewhere. Workers are responsible for assessing the safety of each child at every visit and each child must be separately interviewed at least monthly outside of the presence of the caretaker.				
5	Visitation – Siblings	Section I– 20. Sibling Placement and Visits Children in out-of-home placement should be placed with some or all of their siblings. Children placed apart from their siblings should have at least twice monthly visitation with some or all of their siblings.				
6	Visitation – Parent-Child for Reunification	Section I– 11. Visits Between Parents and Children There shall be weekly visits between parents and children with a goal of reunification unless clinically inappropriate and approved by the Family Court. In cases in which visitation does not occur, the Agency shall demonstrate and there shall be documentation in the case record that visitation was not in the child's best interest, is clinically inappropriate or did not occur despite efforts by the Agency to facilitate it.				

7	Visitation – Parent-Worker	Section I–10. Visits Between Parents and Workers or Providers For children with a permanency goal of reunification, in accordance with the case plan, the assigned worker or designated family services provider should meet with the parent(s) no less frequently than twice a month in the first three months post-placement unless there is documentation that the parent(s) is(are) unavailable or refuses to cooperate with the Agency.
8	Visitation – First Four Weeks of Placement	Section I–6. Visitation for Children Experiencing a New Placement or a Placement Change CFSA or contract agency social workers with case responsibility shall make weekly visits during the first four weeks of placement and twice monthly visits thereafter to each child newly placed in out-of-home care (foster family homes, group homes, congregate care, independent living programs, etc.) or moved to a new placement. Workers are responsible for assessing the safety of each child at every visit and each child must be separately interviewed at least monthly outside of the presence of the caretaker.
9	Licensed Foster Homes	Section I-18. Placement Licensing Children shall be placed in foster homes and other placements that meet licensing and other MFO placement standards and have a current and valid license.
10	Adoption	Section I–16. Timely Adoption Children with a permanency goal of adoption should be in an approved adoption placement within nine months of their goal becoming adoption.